

Village lots are poorly placed

Dear Editor,

RE: The letter, *Proposed new town sites backed*, by *Smaller Lot Supporter* [*Echo Letters*, August 19].

It is true we need smaller lots close to shops and medical services in the Hills, and that is the problem with the proposed developments in Stoneville and Parkerville.

These high density developments are not close to shops and medical services.

To warehouse elderly people 10 km from such services is bad urban planning and down right cruel.

Village-style lots should be built in the Hills, but near the existing shops and medical facilities along Great Eastern Highway.

For the cost of providing adequate infrastructure and services to the Stoneville and Parkerville developments, the State and local governments could hook-up three times as many homes down on the "flats".

Why are ratepayers being forced to provide services to poorly thought-out developments that are primarily designed to enrich greedy developers?

And why didn't *Smaller Lot Supporter* sign his/her name to this identical letter they sent to the other area newspaper?

Who are they really?

**Rusty Geller,
Hovea**