

By email:

Hi Amanda,

Further to our telephone conversation on Friday morning in which I postponed the meeting arranged with the developer, Trevor Betts, and Greg Rowe of the town planners Greg Rowe & Associates, I am writing to confirm my reasons for doing so at such short notice.

You first contacted us over 2 months ago offering us a meeting with the above. Since then you have given us various reasons why this meeting could not take place. On the 11th of this month you emailed us that the developer and the planner would be able to attend a meeting on Friday 22nd. The evening before this meeting, scheduled for 9-30 the following morning, you email us a meeting agenda listing the attendees. This showed that the developer would not in fact be present and that Greg Rowe was 'to be confirmed'.

As I stated in our conversation I consider that inviting us to a meeting at which the key personnel would not be present is at the least unprofessional and at worst deliberate manipulation. I am amazed that you were at a loss to understand why this was a problem. I pointed out that if a business meeting is arranged between certain parties then it is usual to expect those parties to be present. Furthermore, the presence of the developer is essential since he is the final decision maker in this issue and likewise the town planner since he is the chief technical adviser.

I put it to you that the object of the exercise from your point of view has been to neutralise our opposition whilst you offered the 5 acre blocks for sale. Clearly from your comments you thought that such a thing was unthinkable.

We still wish to meet the developer and town planner in order to achieve your stated aim of providing us with 'the truth'. As I pointed out this would be most welcome since your literature has managed to turn a sewage plant into a 'Water Conservation Reserve' (in barely legible type) and claims that the forest is 'old growth' and 'pristine', both of which are untrue since all of the Hills has been logged in the past, and this area until just recently was grazed. Furthermore, a purchaser of one of your 5 acre blocks has informed us that, according to what she was told, there will be no Homeswest accommodation whatsoever in the Parkerville development. Can you confirm this?

The cynics among our supporters believe that this meeting will never take place. I trust you can prove them wrong.

In the meanwhile we would appreciate, as previously requested, details of the number of blocks and their sizes contained within the areas designated for 'aged care' and 'group housing'.

Regards,

Mike Davies

----- Original Message -----

From: "Amanda Fay" <amanda@afay.net.au>

To: "Mike Davies" info@saveperthhills.org

Cc: "Luke Montgomery" <luke@greg-rowe.com>

Sent: Thursday, September 21, 2006 5:10 PM

Subject: MEETING AGENDA

> Please find attached agenda for tomorrow's meeting.

>

> Regards,

>

> Amanda

>

> -

>

> --

> No virus found in this outgoing message.

> Checked by AVG Free Edition.

> Version: 7.1.405 / Virus Database: 268.12.5/451 - Release Date:
19/09/2006

>